
Library National Wetlands Research Center U. S. Fish and Wildlife Service 70C Cajundome Boulevard Lafayette. La. 70506

 $\begin{array}{ll} \textbf{Biological Report} & 82 & (11.82) \\ \textbf{August} & \textbf{1986} \end{array}$

TR EL-82-4

Species Profiles: Life Histories and Environmental Requirements of Coastal Fishes and Invertebrates (Pacific Northwest)

STEELHEAD TROUT



Fish and Wildlife Service

QL 15.5 .S63

> Coastal Ecology Group Waterways Experiment Station

U.S. Department of the Interior

U.S. Army Corps of Engineers



Biological Report 82 (11.62) TR EL-82-4 August 1986

Species Profiles: Life Histories and Environmental Requirements of Coastal Fishes and Invertebrates (Pacific Northwest)

STEELHEAD TROUT

by

Gilbert B. Pauley, Bruce M Bortz, and Michael F. Shepard Washington Cooperative Fishery Research Unit College of Ocean and Fishery Sciences University of Washington Seattle, WA 98195

> Project Manager Carroll Cordes

> Project Officer David Moran

National Wetlands Research Center U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service 1010 Gause Boulevard Slidell, LA 70458

Performed for

Coastal Ecology Group Waterways Experiment Station U.S. Army Corps of Engineers Vicksburg, MS 39180

and

National Wetlands Research Center Research and Development Fish and Wildlife Service U.S. Department of the Interior Washington, DC 20240

This series may be referenced as follows:

U.S. fish and Wildlife Service. 1983-19. Species profiles: life histories and environmental requirements of coasts fishes and invertebrates. U.S. Fish Wildl. Serv. Biol. Rep. 82(11). U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, TR EL-82-4.

This profile may be cited as follows:

Pauley, G.B., B.M Bortz, and M.F. Shepard. 1986. Species profiles: life histories and environmental requirements of coastal fishes and invertebrates (Pacific Northwest) -- steelhead trout. U.S. Fish Wildl. Serv. Biol. Rep. 82(11.62). U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, TR EL-82-4. 24 pp.

PREFACE

This species profile is one of a series on coastal aquatic organisms, principally fish, of sport, commercial, or ecological importance. The profiles are designed to provide coastal managers, engineers, and biologists with a brief comprehensive sketch of the biological characteristics and environmental requirements of the species and to describe how populations of the species may be expected to react to environmental changes caused by coastal development. Each profile has sections on taxonomy, life history, ecological role, environmental requirements, and economic importance, if applicable. A three-ring binder is used for this series so that new profiles can be added as they are prepared. This project is jointly planned and financed by the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers and the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service.

Suggestions or questions regarding this report should be directed to one of the following addresses.

Information Transfer Specialist National Wetlands Research Center U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service NASA-Slide11 Computer Complex 1010 Gause Boulevard Slidell, LA 70458

or

U.S. Army Engineer Waterways Experiment Station Attention: WESER-C Post Office Box 631 Vicksburg, MS 39180

CONVERSION TABLE

Metric to U.S. Customary

<u>Multiply</u>	<u>By</u>	To Obtain
millimeters (mm)	0. 03937	inches
centineters (cm)	0. 3937	inches
meters (m)	3. 281	feet
kilometers (km)	0. 6214	mi l es
square meters (m ²)	10. 76	square feet
square kilometers (kml)	0. 3861	square miles
hectares (ha)	2. 471	acres
liters (1)	0. 2642	gallons
cubic meters (m ³)	35. 31	cubic feet
cubic meters	0. 0008110	acre-feet
milligrams (mg)	0. 00003527	ounces
grans (g)	0. 03527	ounces
grams (g) kilograms (kg) metric tons (t)	2. 205	pounds
	2205. 0	pounds
[metric_tons	1. 102	short tons
kilocalories (kcal)	3. 968	British thermal units
Celsius degrees	1.8(°C) + 32	Fahrenheit degrees
	U.S. Customary to Met	<u>ric</u>
inches	25. 40	millimeters
inches	2. 54	centineters
feet (ft)	0. 3048	neters
fathons	1. 829	neters
miles (mi)	1. 609	kilometers
nautical miles (mi)	1. 852	kilometers
	1. 002	
square feet (ft ²)	0. 0929	square meters
square feet (ft ²)		square meters hectares
	0. 0929	
acres square miles (mi ²) gallons (gal)	0. 0929 0. 4047 2. 590 3. 785	hêctares square kilometers liters
acres square miles (mi ²) gallons (gal) cubic feet (ft ³)	0. 0929 0. 4047 2. 590 3. 785 0. 02831	hêctares square kilometers liters cubic meters
acres square miles (mi ²) gallons (gal)	0. 0929 0. 4047 2. 590 3. 785	hêctares square kilometers liters
acres square miles (mi ²) gallons (gal) cubic feet (ft ³) acre-feet ounces (oz)	0. 0929 0. 4047 2. 590 3. 785 0. 02831 1233. 0 28. 35	hectares square kilometers liters cubic meters cubic meters grains
acres square miles (mi ²) gallons (gal) cubic feet (ft ³) acre-feet ounces (oz) pounds (lb)	0. 0929 0. 4047 2. 590 3. 785 0. 02831 1233. 0 28. 35 0. 4536	hectares square kilometers liters cubic meters cubic meters grains kilograms
acres square miles (mi ²) gallons (gal) cubic feet (ft ³) acre-feet ounces (oz) pounds (lb) short tons (ton)	0. 0929 0. 4047 2. 590 3. 785 0. 02831 1233. 0 28. 35 0. 4536 0. 9072	hectares square kilometers liters cubic meters cubic meters grains kilograms metric tons
acres square miles (mi ²) gallons (gal) cubic feet (ft ³) acre-feet ounces (oz) pounds (lb)	0. 0929 0. 4047 2. 590 3. 785 0. 02831 1233. 0 28. 35 0. 4536	hectares square kilometers liters cubic meters cubic meters grains kilograms
acres square miles (mi ²) gallons (gal) cubic feet (ft ³) acre-feet ounces (oz) pounds (lb) short tons (ton)	0. 0929 0. 4047 2. 590 3. 785 0. 02831 1233. 0 28. 35 0. 4536 0. 9072	hectares square kilometers liters cubic meters cubic meters grains kilograms metric tons

CONTENTS

	Page
PREFACE	
CONVERSION TABLE	iv
FIGURES	vi
ACKNOWLEDGMENTS	vi i
NOMENCLATURE/TAXONOMY/RANGE	1
MDRPHOLOGY/IDENTIFICATION AIDS	1
REASON FOR INCLUSION IN SERIES	3
LIFEHISTORY	4
Run and Stock Types	4
Spawning, Fecundity, and Sex Ratios	5
Hatching and Juveniles	5
Smolts	6
Marine Distribution and Growth	
Homing Instinct.	9
COMMERCIAL FISHERY	
SPORT FISHERY	
The Fishery and Its Management	•
Hatchery Program	10
ECOLOGICAL ROLE	13
ENVIRONMENTAL REQUIREMENTS	14
Water Temperature.	
Dissolved Oxygen	15
Substrate	
Water Depth	10
Water Movement	
Suspended and Deposited Sediment	17
Other Environmental Factors	17
LITERATURE CITED	19

FIGURES

Nunbe	<u>Pa</u>	ge
1	Steel head trout	1
2	Primary distribution of winter-run steelhead in Pacific Northwest rivers	2
3	Major Washington State rivers that have summer-run steelhead	3
4	Major Oregon State rivers that have summer-run steelhead	4
5	Oceanic distribution of steelhead trout of Washington, Oregon, and California origin.	7
6	Oceanic distribution of steelhead trout of British Columbia origin	8
7	Saltwater locations on the outer Washington coast and the area around Whidbey Isl and where sport anglers have taken steelhead using modified freshwater drift gear	11
8	Numbers of steelhead caught by sport fishermen in Washington State for 1973-84 showing both summer-run and winter-run totals	12

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We are grateful to Peter Hahn and Robert Gibbons of the Washington State Department of Game for their review of the manuscript and valuable unpublished information. We also thank Vali Frank for her assistance and preparation of figures.






Figure 1. Steelhead trout.

STEELHEAD TROUT

NOMENCLATURE/TAXONOMY/RANGE

Scientific name Salmo gairdneri
Ri chardson
Preferred common nameSteelhead trout
(Figure 1)
Other common names Coastal rainbow
trout, silver trout, salmon trout,
ironhead, steelie, steelhead
Class Osteichthyes
Order Sal moni formes
FamilySalmonidae

Geographic range: The steelhead trout is found from central California to the Bering Sea and Bristol Bay coastal streams of Alaska. streams in the Puget Sound region and many tributaries of the Columbia and Snake Rivers have steelhead Major winter steelhead populations. runs are found in many Pacific Northwest rivers (Figure 2). Figure the major summer-run steelhead rivers in Washington. while Figure 4 shows the major summer-run steelhead rivers in Oregon.

MORPHOLOGY/IDENTIFICATION AIDS

Body moderately compressed; length to 114 cm with average length between 51 and 76 cm Weight up to 19.5 kg. Head length about 20% of total body length (TL). Gill rakers 16-22 (usually 6-9 on the upper limb, 11-13 on the lower limb). Branchiostegal rays 9-13. One dorsal fin with 10 to 12 fin rays; adipose fin fleshy with small base; caudal fin not forked; pectoral fins with about 15 fin rays; pelvic fins with about 10 fin rays; anal fin with 8-12 fin rays. Scales cycloid, rather small, and wi th di fferent stocks. Pyloric caeca number between 27 and 80 (Carl et al. 1973; Hart 1973; Scott and Crossman 1973).

Lateral line is complete. slightly curved anteriorly. 100-150 pored lateral line scales. Color varies with habitat, size, and condition. Marine sexual adult steelhead are generally metallic blue on the dorsal surface and silvery on There are black spots on the sides.




Figure 2. Primary distribution of winter-run steelhead in Pacific Northwest rivers.




Figure 3. Major Washington State rivers that have summer-run steelhead.

the back, and on the dorsal and caudal fins. Spawning colors are considerably darker with males having a pink or red band on the sides. There is no red dash under lower jaw, which distinguishes steelhead from coastal cutthroat trout, Salmo clarkj clarki.

REASON FOR INCLUSION IN SERIES

Historically, steelhead have been a valuable resource in the Pacific Northwest and are the major trophy game fish in some areas such as western Washington (Pautzke and Meigs 1940). In the Columbia River Basin, abundant and apparently inexhaustible salmon and steelhead populations generated an intensive However, mainstream dans fi shery. reservoirs. which cause loss

natural habitat and increased mortality of smolts (fish migrating to the sea), contributed to the reduction of the Columbia River salmon and steelhead natural runs to seriously low levels (Chaney 1978; Raymond Poor logging, road building, and irrigation practices along with overgrazing by livestock have also contributed to the decline of salmon and steelhead (Yee and Roelofs 1980: **Platts** 1981: Chamberlin 1982). Natural steelhead runs are heavily supplemented by hatchery stocking in Washington, Oregon, and California. Presently, 17 hatcheries plant steelhead smolts into the Columbia River system (Ayerst 1977). Steelhead were, and still are, an integral part of the economic, social, and religious life of Indian Tribes throughout much of Washington, Oregon, and Idaho. Steelhead are one of the most highly prized




Figure 4. Major Oregon State rivers that have summer-run steelhead.

game fish in the Pacific Northwest. Approximately 110,000-130,000 anglers participate in this fishery each year in Washington State (Peter Hahn and Robert Gibbons, Washington State Department of Game [WDG]; pers. comm.).

LIFE HISTORY

Run and Stock Types

Steelhead are sea-run (anadromous) rainbow trout (Salno gairdneri Richardson) that primarily use coastal streams from Alaska to California and

tri butari es of the Columbia River basin. Two races, or runs, of steelhead exist (Smith 1960, 1968; Chilcote et al. 1980). Winter-run steelhead migrate to their native stream in the late fall and winter, summer-run **steel head** mi grate upstream during the spring and summer. Winter-run steelhead enter their home stream in various stages of maturation and spawn within the next few months after entering the river, usually by May. Summer-run steelhead enter their home stream as green (immature) fish and do not mature and spawn until the following spring. Native or wild winter-run fish may spawn between late

March and early May, while hatchery fish spawn earlier from December through February (Smith 1960; Sheppard Robert Gibbons, WDG, pers. comm.) There is potential for summerand winter-run steelhead spawners to interbreed, as well as for hatchery and wild group interbreeding (Chilcote Ĭ983). et al. Shapovalov and Taft (1954) noted that in some large rivers such as the Sacramento, Klamath, and Columbia, steelhead may enter from the ocean during most of the year. Entry steelhead into streams is positively correlated wi th the occurrence of freshet conditions (Withler 1966). When adult steelhead enter freshwater, they rarely eat any food and grow little if at all at this time (Maher and Larkin 1954).

Sheppard (1972) reviewed various stocks from different States and found that (1) California stocks apparently consist of only winter-run fish because of unsuitable summer stream conditions: (2) Washington, Oregon, and southern British Columbia stocks are a mixture of both summer and winter-run fish; (3) Idaho stocks are primarily summer-run fish that migrate up the Columbia and Snake Rivers; and (4) Alaska and northern British stocks Col umbi a are primarily summer-run steelhead.

Spawning, Fecundity, and Sex Ratios

Steelhead spawn in cool, clear, well-oxygenated streams with suitable gravel and current velocities (Reiser and Bjornn 1979). After choosing the redd (spawning nest) site, the female begins digging a depression by repeatedly turning on her side and dislodging gravel with rapid lateral movements of the tail. Redd depth may vary from about 7 cm to more than 30 cm, and the redd will occupy about 5.5 of stream bottom spawning area consists of 1.3 cm to 11.4 cm diameter gravel and wellaerated water having a flow of approximately 76.2 cm/sec. Unlike

salmon, which die after spawning, some steelhead return from the ocean to their native streams several times in successive years to spawn. repeat spawners are female fish (Peter Hahn and Robert Gibbons, WDG; pers. comm.). Fecundity appears to vary with size and geographic origin of the steelhead (Bulkley 1967). According to Bulkley (1967), fecundity of steel-**According** head from the Alsea River, Oregon, increases with size: 3,500 eggs for **508-mm fish**; **5,000 eggs for** 610-mm fish; 8,000 eggs for 711-mm fish; and 10,000 to 12,000 eggs for 813-mm fish. Alsea River steelhead produced approximately 32% fewer eggs than females from Trinity River, California, and 51% less eggs than fish from Scott Creek, California, when similar-sized fish were compared (Bulkley 1967).

Sheppard (1972) suggested that the sex ratio of steelhead returning to streams along the entire Pacific Coast from California to Alaska is This ratio is apparently the mean or average situation, substantial variation occurring in individual runs in various rivers from year to year (Peter Hahn and Robert Gibbons, VDG; pers. comm.). Although females appear to survive as repeat spawners considerably more often than males, the number of steelhead that return as second and third time repeat spawners declines progressively, and only a few fourth time spawners have been reported (Washington 1970). The incidence of repeat spawners decreases from south to north along the Pacific Coast (Withler 1966). The number of repeat spawners also varies from stream to stream (Withler 1966; Salo Repeat spawners do not show any significant size gain (Salo 1974).

Hatching and Juveniles

The eggs usually hatch in 4 to 7 weeks, and the newly hatched young (alevins) absorb the yolk and become free swimming in 3 to 7 days. Time of hatching varies with region, habitat,

water temperature, and spawning season.

As the young fish grow, move to deeper parts of the stream, and establish territories, food items change from microscopic aquatic organisms to larger organisms such as isopods, amphipods, and both aquatic and terrestrial insects (Shapovalov and Taft 1954). They primarily eat food items associated with the stream bottom (Wydoski and Whitney 1979).

vegetation Streamsi de and submerged cover in the form of rocks, and aquatic vegetation important to steel head extremely during rearing, perhaps nore so than at any other time (Narver 1976; Reiser and Bjornn 1979). Cover plays an important role in the selection of habitat by young steelhead. much as this cover provides food, temperature stability, and protection from predators, the densities of young steelhead are highest in areas containing instream cover (Johnson 1985). The maintenance or reestablishment of streamside vegetation is a major part of successful stream management for steel head and other anadromous salmonids (Narver 1976: Reeves and Roelefs 1984: Johnson 1985).

Smolts

Parr are young fish found in freshwater streams before migration to saltwater and are characterized by heavy dark blotches on their sides called parr marks. Juvenile steelhead remain in freshwater from 1 to 4 years transformation to smolts. Smolting is a process of morphologibehavioral, and biochemical changes in which bottom dwelling parr transform into pelagic smolt that are fully capable and prepared to migrate to saltwater. Smolts are characterized by a silvery color and the absence of parr marks.

Wild juvenile steelhead commonly spend 2 or 3 years in freshwater, but

hatchery fish only 1 year. reviews suggest that development of the smolt stage and seaward migration are initiated by various environmental factors including photoperiod, water temperature, and water chemistry (Foluer and Dickhoff 1980; Wedeneyer 1980). Marine survival of et al. snolts is a function of size and not with 14 to 16 cm being the critical minimum size at which steelhead can become smolts and subsequently survive in saltwater (Conte and Wagner 1965; Fessler and Wagner

Marine Distribution and Growth

A rapid growth phase occurs after the smolts reach the ocean. Steelhead usually remain in the ocean for 2 to 3 years, and occasionally 4 years (Shapovalov and Taft 1954). Many of the summer-run stocks in the Columbia River system spend only one year at sea (Peter Hahn, WDG, pers. comm.). Sheppard (1972) found that steelhead of British Columbia origin do not travel as far as other steellike steelhead head stocks. but. originating in Washington, Oregon, California, and Idaho, they spend at least part of their ocean residency in the Alaskan gyre (Figures 5 and 6). Sheppard (1972)indicated steelhead tagged in both the Gulf of Alaska and near Adak Island, Alaska, returned to North American coastal streams and that fish tagged at Skanania Hatchery in Washington were recovered 3 years later, 45 mi south of Adak, Alaska. The knowledge of steelhead migratory patterns on the high seas is incomplete because the fish are difficult to sample since they do not form schools and do not use areas where intensive commercial salmon fishing occurs. **Sutherland** (1973) indicated that the relative abundance of steelhead trout captured at sea was far less than that of any of the Pacific salmon (Oncorhynchus spp.), and that steelhead distribution at sea appears to be influenced by surface water temperatures. The




Figure 5. Hatched areas indicate oceanic distribution for steelhead trout of Washington, Oregon, and California origin based on tagging-recapture studies from 1956 to 1969 (after Sheppard 1972). Note that the International North Pacific Fish Commission abstention line, which restricts Japanese gill-net fishing, has been moved recently.

distribution conforms closely to the 5 $^{\circ}\text{C}$ isotherm on the north and the 15 $^{\circ}\text{C}$ isotherm on the south.

Steelhead age groups are designated to indicate the amount of time spent in freshwater and in the ocean; thus 2/3 refers to fish that spent 2 years in freshwater and 3 summers or winters in the ocean (Maher and Larkin 1954). Most mature, returning wild adult steelhead usually fall into one of four major categories for age: 2/2, 2/3, 3/2, and 3/3. Returning hatchery adult steelhead

usually are 1/1, 1/2, or 1/3. No correlation exists between number of years spent in freshwater and in saltwater. However, length of residence in both freshwater and saltwater increases in steelhead populations from south to north along the Pacific coast (Withler The maximum life span of steelhead appears to be between 8 and years (Summer 1945; Washington 1970).

Length at first maturity is a function of the number of years spent




Figure 6. Hatched areas indicate oceanic distribution for steelhead trout of British Columbia origin based on tagging-recapture studies from 1956 to 1969 (after Sheppard 1972).

in saltwater, according to Maher and Larkin (1954), with representative mean lengths of adult steelhead in British Columbia increasing with time spent in saltwater residence: 47.2 cm for 1 year, 70.1 cm for 2 years, 81.3 cm for 3 years, and 87.9 cm for The more northern populations of steelhead along the Pacific attain the greatest adult length (Withler 1966). Representative mean lengths of adult steelhead after 2 years in saltwater are 58.2 cm for California. 66.8 cm for central

and 71.9 cm for southern Oregon, British Columbia (Withler 1966). A fish that has spent 4 years in saltwater is almost twice as long as one that has spent only 1 year in saltwater, regardless of the number of years spent in freshwater. weight varies approximately as the cube of the length, increments in lengths of longer fish are associated with larger gains in weight (Maher and Larkin 1954). A rough estimate of a steelhead's weight can be made by assuming it weighs 2.3 kg at 58 cm and will increase by about 0.4 kg for each additional 2.5 cm

Homing Instinct

The sensitivity of the homing instinct in steelhead has never been but a learning process explained. called imprinting is probably involved initially (Slatick et al. 1981). The parti cul arl v instinct is important in steelhead because the fishery is limited almost entirely to the watersheds where they return as adults and reproduce (Royal 1972). Even though a significant amount of anadronous salmonid species other stray between neighboring streams of adult steelhead planted as in a particular river are usually available for harvest in the stream of origin or closely neighboring river systems (Royal 1972).

COMMERCIAL FISHERY

Commercial fishing for steelhead in the Pacific Northwest started in the **mid-1880**'s. The recording of commercial catch statistics for Washi ngton. Oregon, and California began in 1892, when 5.3 million pounds of steelhead were caught (Sheppard The steelhead commercial catch peaked in 1945 at 8.7 million pounds, with the commercial catch declining in subsequent years (Sheppard 1972). Commercial fishing for steelhead in California ended in 1924, and is now restricted in Washington and Oregon to specific Indian tribes as guaranteed by particular treaty rights (Withler 1972: 1985). Clark In British Columbia, steelhead are incidental catches in commercial salmon gill nets and totaled 20,726 fish for all the years between 1960-71 (Withler 1972). According to Sheppard (1972), the commercial catch of steelhead in Alaska is small: between 1942 and the annual catch averaged 14,671 lb in Alaska's southeast region and 5,230 lb in the central region.

SPORT FISHERY

The Fishery and Its Management

Steelhead in **Washington** and **Oregon** are currently nanaged exclusively for recreational fisheries and tribal Indian fisheries. majority of fish caught upstream in rivers are landed by recreational anglers, and most of those caught near the river mouth estuaries are taken by Steelhead catch allocations Indians. are currently divided between treaty and fishermen Indian nontreaty approximately 50:50 for numbers caught Washington State waters in accordance with the recent Federal Court ruling commonly known as the Boldt Decision (Clark 1985). Before this court ruling, Summer (1945) noted that Indian nets were capable of selecting, on the average, larger and probably older fish than sport anglers catch by hook and line. In addition to interacting with various Indian fisheries, the sport fishery must also interact with other types of recreation and timber management as reviewed by Clark et al. (1985).

Since the early 1900's, particularly after World War II, sportfishing for steelhead has become increasingly popular because of advanced hatchery technology and widespread stocking. Runs of adult steelhead have been built up substantially by planting hatchery fish (Larson and Ward 1954). Pauley (1982) reviewed the effects of recreational fishing on anadromous sal moni ds. including the benefits and drawbacks of using hatchery salmonids to supplement the sport fishery. Since the native stock is the result of natural selection over centuries, stocks changed through selective breeding should not be expected to be as genetically robust as the native stocks.

Fishing is conducted with both natural bait and artificial lures (Fagerstrom 1976) even though the fish do not actively feed in freshwater.

Natural baits include fish eggs, night and shri mp. **Popular** artificial lures are spoons, spinners, diving plugs, flies, and brightly colored drift bobbers. Bait casting. spinning, and fly-fishing gear are all used by steelhead anglers. The main types of fishing are drift fishing and plunking from the river banks, and drift fishing and plug pulling from professionally guided or privately owned drift and jet boats (Fagerstrom 1976; Luch 1976). Most of the Most of the steelhead caught by anglers are taken in rivers, although there are a few saltwater areas where steelhead are consistently taken (Figure 7) using modified drift gear (Rudnick 1981).

and Washington have a Oregon compulsory punchcard system anglers to record their steelhead The information is used in conjunction with creel survey data along various taken streams to estimate the steelhead sport catch for each river and lake. In comparing recreational catch data from Washi ngton. Oregon, California. British Columbia, and Alaska, Sheppard (1972) found that Washington had the largest annual sport catch of steelhead between the years 1962 and 1970, while Oregon was second. average annual catch in Washington between 1961 and 1981 was 38,040 summer-run fish and 103,940 winter-run fish (Peter Hahn, VDG; pers. comm.). Sheppard (1972) also found that one five out of every anglers in Washington for the period from 1949 to succeeded in catching a steelhead, based on punchcard returns. These punchcard returns are biased toward successful anglers, who return their cards at a considerably higher rate than do unsuccessful steelhead anglers (Peter Hahn, comm.).

During 1983-84, Washington State anglers harvested 37,134 summer-run (May-October) steelhead and 68,647 winter-run (November-April) steelhead for a total of 105,781 fish (Washington Department of Game 1985a). Figure 8 depicts the number of steelhead caught annually in Washington State by steelhead anglers from 1973 to 1984, the period that the Boldt Decision had been in effect. The Washington State Treaty Indian steelhead catch in 1983-84 was 20,395 summer-run fish and 59,826 winter-run fish for a total of 80,221 steelhead (Washington Department of Game 1985b).

increased popularity of sportfishing and the declining quality and quantity of natural habitat have caused all the Pacific States and British Columbia to closely manage steelhead stocks. Withler (1966) indicated that more females than males may be caught and then kept by anglers. Two factors are involved in this bias toward females in the sex ratio of fish kept by anglers. the frequency of repeat spawners is greater among female steelhead than males due to increased post-spawning survival. Consequently, the slightly greater number of females in the population results in larger female catches by anglers. Second, males deteriorate in appearance to a than greater extent females as spawning approaches. Therefore, more males than females are released alive by anglers after being captured during the spawning season. Recent data from Washington State indicate that females are not more vulnerable than males to angling, and that the sport catch sex ratio is an unbiased estimate of the true sex ratio (Peter Hahn, WDG; pers. comm.).

Hatchery Program

Washington, Oregon, Idaho, and California all have hatchery programs to supplement their natural fish runs. Hatcheries can be utilized to rebuild, improve, or even establish new runs of steelhead, but they should not be used to attempt to replace wild stocks of fish (Ayerst 1977). Washington State's steelhead management program is a two-phase project:




Figure 7. Saltwater locations on the outer Washington coast and the area around Whidbey Island where sport anglers have taken steelhead using modified freshwater drift gear.

(1) supplementing depleted runs and heavily fished runs with hatchery fish, and (2) attempting to protect the natural runs of steelhead already present in various streams (Larson and Ward 1954). The second phase is currently the major priority in

Washington (Peter Hahn, WDG, pers. comm.). In 1971, Washington State had 14 hatcheries and 10 seminatural rearing ponds producing over 3 million winter steelhead smolts; Oregon had 11 hatcheries and few rearing ponds producing 1.5 million winter steelhead




Figure 8. State-wide numbers of individual steelhead caught by sportfishermen in Washington State for 1973-84 showing both summer-run and winter-run totals.

smolts in 1968; California had four hatcheries releasing 1.88 million winter-run steelhead smolts in 1968; and Idaho released 350,000 smolts in 1971 (Sheppard 1972).

Hatchery steelhead smolts are generally 1 year old when released, whereas natural wild smolts are 2 years old before they become migrate to smolts and the sea 1972). Available data indicate that the optimum release date for hatchery-reared steelhead smolts

coincides with the peak downstream novement of wild steelhead smolts (Wagner et al. 1963), which occurs in late April (Wagner 1968).

Hatchery propagation has affected the length of time spent at sea and has altered the return migration pattern of adult steelhead (Salo 1974). Hatchery fish tend to return to the streams sooner than natural wild fish (Royal 1972). Evidence also indicates that increased plantings of hatchery fish can cause a

corresponding decline in the number of wild steelhead that return as adults (Wagner et al. 1963; Salo 1974), even though hatchery smolts do not always seem to survive as well as wild smolts (Bjornn 1977; and McIntrye 1977). Rei senbi chl er This reduction in wild fish numbers is brought about by genetic alteration with the possible elimination of wild genotypes in steelhead. A decline in the numbers of returning wild steelhead is also caused by overfishing of wild steelhead because of increased fishing pressure following the stocking of abundant hatchery fish (Narver 1976). Recent data from Washington rivers indicate that genetic alteration is a minor problem while overfishing is a major problem (Peter Hahn and Robert Gibbons, VDG; pers. comm.). In an attempt to solve this problem Washington State currently requires that all native or wild fish must be released by anglers in most rivers. Returning hatchery steelhead and wild steelhead can be distinguished with near 100% accuracy by scale-pattern characteristics (Chapman 1958) or with a high degree of accuracy by examination of the dorsal fin (Pauley 1982). The latter method is used by sport fishermen to j usti fy keeping or Wild fish have releasing their fish. dorsal fin rays that are not bent or crooked in any way and are generally more than 2.0 inches high when fully extended. Hatchery fish, on the other hand, have dorsal fin rays that are bent or crooked and that are usually less than 2.0 inches high when fully extended. Hatchery fish in most rivers in Washington State also have clipped adipose fins.

ECOLOGICAL ROLE

A variety of predators eat juvenile steelhead: large resident rainbow trout (Salmo gairaneri), searun cutthroat trout (Salmo clarki), sculpins (Cottus spp.), greatue herons (Ardea herodias), mergansers (Mergus merganser), and various

mammals (Sheppard 1972). Johnson (1981) found that steelhead eggs in streams were subject to predation by both brook trout (Salvelinus fontinalis) and juvenile steelhead, where eggs composed 20.1% to 59.2% of the total dry weight of the diet of the predators examined.

In Idaho, Bjornn (1978) found that steelhead fry were at least as viable as resident rainbow trout fry and possibly more viable. The fry tended to displace steel head resident rainbow trout, but did not appear to affect resident brook trout populations. Stocking hatchery rainbow trout (Salmo gairdneri) streams caused localized temporary displacement of juvenile steelhead (Pollard 1978). Hatchery rainbow trout usually chose greater stream velocities and deeper water than steel head. Because of these differences, interaction between rainbow trout and juvenile steelhead apparently is not great (Pollard and Gill (1968) Hartman reviewed the distribution of juvenile steelhead and cutthroat trout in southeastern British Columbia. reported that steelhead were predominantly in large streams with **130** km² drai nage areas over streams with steep gradients, while cutthroat trout were found predominantly in small streams with drainage under 13 km² with slightly sloping gradients.

Stream dwelling steelhead trout, which have evolved in sympatry with various other species of anadronous have developed mechanisms sal moni ds. that partition the available habitat probably prompte coexistence (Allee 1981). **Everest and Chapman** (1972) found that chinook salmon (Oncorhynchus tschawytscha) steelhead segregated during the summer in two Idaho streams. The steel head occupied similar niches whether they were present alone or together with sal mon. Most iuvenile steelhead preferred rubble substrates

water velocities less than 15 cm/s and a depth of 0.15 m When steelhead grew larger, they moved to Hartman (1965) deeper, faster water. interaction between found that iuvenile coho salmon (Oncorhynchus ki sutch) and iuvenile steelhead depended upon three population densities in the stream levels of aggressiveness, and size differences of the fish. Young steelhead demonstrated more aggressive behavior and territorial defense in the riffle environment, and coho salmon were more strongly motivated to defend space in pools rather than in riffles. By winter, the steelhead attained a size range approximating that of the coho salmon and moved into the pools. However, steelhead did not establish stable social groups in the pools as coho salmon did. resulted in steel head coho and utilizing the pool space differently. Coho salmon formed groups in open water above the bottom and occupied beside or downstream from space Steelhead scattered across the bottom as individuals and occupied space under stones.

Juvenile steelhead tended to be nore closely associated with the bot tom of streams than either coho or chinook salmon (Hartman 1965; Edmunson et al. 1968; Bustard and Narver 1975). In the winter, young steelhead become inactive and hide in any available cover (Bustard and Narver 1975).

Survival of young fish as they migrate seaward depends somewhat upon the probability of survival is 2.5% for 1-year-old fish, 6.0% for 2-year-olds; **18%** for 3-year-olds (Shapovalov 1967). While at sea, steelhead feed heavily upon juvenile greenling (Hexagrammos spp.), squids, and anphipods (LeBrasseur 1966; Manzer 1968) and travel great distances, primarily in the upper 12.2 m of the water column (Sheppard 1972). Marine nammals and fish are predators of steelhead and salmon although their impact is difficult to assess.

ENVIRONMENTAL REQUIREMENTS

Water Temperature

Water temperature requirements of steelhead varv wi th life stage. Although no specific optimum migration temperatures are given for steelhead, Reiser and Bjornn (1979) stated that stream temperatures during adult upstream migration can alter the time of migration, accelerate or retard maturation, lead to and outbreaks of disease. They suggested stocks of that most anadromous salmonids have evolved with specific temperature patterns and that significant abrupt deviations affect adversely their Shepard (1972) found that in small to moderate-sized streams timing of the upstream winter migration of steelhead was related to stream flows and corresponding low temperatures. In larger streams, the relationships were less defined, but suggested that stocks may have evolved migration timing that corresponds to generally suitable stream flow and temperature levels.

Bell (1973) suggested that the spawning temperatures of steelhead were between 3.9 and 9.4 °C. Reiser and Bjornn (1979) indicated that salmonid spawning may cease with a sudden drop in stream temperature, resulting in decreased nest building reduced production. and Al though recommended incubation temperatures not specifically given were Reiser and Bjornn (1979) steel head, listed the incubation temperatures for all salmonid embryos as 4.0 to 14 °C. Enbryos can develop normally at lower temperatures if they are sufficiently acclimated.

According to Reiser and Bjornn (1979), water temperature during rearing can influence growth rate, population density, swimming ability, ability to capture and use food, and ability to withstand disease outbreaks. Bell (1973) listed the

preferred rearing temperatures of steelhead as 7.2 to 14.5 $^{\circ}\text{C}$, with an optimum of about 10.0 $^{\circ}\text{C}$ and an upper lethal limit of 23.9 $^{\circ}\text{C}$.

Dissolved Oxygen

During upstream mi gration, reduced dissolved oxygen concentrations can adversely affect swimming performance of migrating salmonids and cause avoidance reactions or cause migration to cease (Reiser and Bjornn Experiments have shown that at temperatures of 10.0 to 20 °C, the maximum sustained swimming abilities of juvenile and adult coho salmon were adversely affected when dissolved oxygen was reduced from air-saturation levels and decreases in performance were observed at all temperatures when di ssol ved oxygen concentrations measured 6.5 to 7.0 mg/l (Davis et al. 1963).

Di ssol ved oxygen levels are critical to steelhead and other salmonids during incubation. From experiments conducted by various authors (Alderdice et al. 1958; Silver et al. 1963; Shumway et al. 1964) on and chinook salmon. and coho, chum Reiser and Bjornn (1979) steel head. summarized the effects of dissolved oxygen on salmonid egg development. They indicated that (1) sac fry embryos incubaed in low and intermediate dissolved oxygen concentrations were smaller and weaker those reared at concentrations and may not survive as well; (2) reduced oxygen concentrations led to longer incubation periods and smaller newly hatched fry; and (3) low dissolved oxygen concentrations may delay hatching and increase the incidence of anomalies in the early stages of devel opment stimulate premature hatching during the later stages. There is a positive correlation between the survival of steelhead enbryos and intragravel dissolved oxygen concentrations (Coble 1961; Phillips and Campbell 1961). Reiser and Bjornn (1979) recommended

that dissolved oxygen concentrations during incubation of anadromous salmonids be at or near saturation with temporary reductions to no lower than 5.0 mg/l.

Dissolved oxygen concentrations are also important during the rearing Reiser and Bjornn (1979) summarized dissolved oxygen criteria developed by Davis (1975) for salmonids, which indicated that freshwater salmonid populations function without impairment at dissolved oxygen concentrations of 7.8 mg/l; exhibit initial distress symptoms at 6.0 mg/l; and are adversely affected at 4.3 Reiser and Bjornn (1979) indicated that freshwater salmonid populations can function impairment when dissolved oxygen is at following saturation levels (dependent on temperature): 76% at 0 to 15 °C; 85% at 20 °C; and 93% at Initial distress symptoms appear with saturation levels of 57% at 0 to 10 °C; 59% at 15 °C; 65% at 20 °C; and 72% at 25 °C. Fish populations are adversely affected with saturation levels of 38% at 0 to 10 °C; 42% at 15 °C; 46% at 20 °C; and 51% at 25 °C. Low dissolved oxygen levels can affect the rate of metabolism growth swimming speed, food consumption rate, efficiency of. food utilization, behavior, survival of ultimately the anadromous sal monids.

Supersaturation of atmospheric gases has caused problems to various salmonids as discussed by Ebel and Raymond (1976) and Weitkamp and Katz (1980). Serious histopathological problems associated with gas-bubble disease have been noted in fingerling salmonids under conditions of supersaturation (Pauley and Nakatani 1967).

Substrate

The composition of the stream substrate is particularly important to steelhead as well as to other salmonids during spawning, incubation,

The preferred size of and rearing. substrate varies with the di fferent sizes and species of salmonids (Reiser and Biornn 1979). The acceptable gravel substrate has a wide range of sizes for steelhead as authors. indicated by several composition on steelhead gravel spawning areas ranges from 1.0 to 10.0 cm according to Hunter (1973). Bell (1973) states that since stream bed composition is a result of slope and quantity of flow, the substrate composition of the spawning bed for salmon and trout may vary from 2.0 to Reiser and Bjornn (1979) 10.0 cm indicated suitable substrate spawning was 1.3 to 11.7 cm in di ameter.

Reiser and Bjornn (1979) indicated that spawning bed materials can influence the development and emergence of salmonid fry. In general. permeability is substrate essential for good salmonid production. Excessive sand and silt in the gravel hinders successful fry emer-Bjornn (1969) and McCuddin gence. (1977) denonstrated that when sediments less than 6.4 mm in diameter constituted at least 20% to 25% of the substrate, both survival and emergence chi nook salmon and steelhead embryos were reduced. Gravel mixtures containing high percentages of fine caused decreased embryo sediments survival, smaller steelhead and energence before yolk-sac absorption was complete (Tappel and Bjornn 1983).

During the rearing phase, the substrate composition probably affects salmonid production mostly by regulating the production of invertebrates, a valuable food source. Reiser and Bjornn (1979) indicated that the highest production of invertebrates is in habitats with gravel- and rubble-sized materials and that invertebrate production decreases proportionately as the size of the substrate particles decreases. In all cases, the composition of the stream substrate was a

function of water velocity, with the size of the material increasing with water velocity. Reiser and Bjornn (1979) developed overall criteria for optimum salmonid food production in streams: water velocity, 0.5 to 1.1 m/s; depth, 0.5 to 0.9 m, substrate composition, largely coarse gravel from 3.2 to 7.6 cm in diameter, and rubble from 7.6 to 30.4 cm in diameter.

Water Depth

Insufficient water depth can be a barrier to the upstream migration of other anadromous steelhead and sal moni ds. The minimum depth required for the successful upstream migration of adult steelhead is 18 cm (Thompson Waterfalls, however, can be obstacles even when the water depth below the falls exceeds 18 cm Reiser and Biornn (1979) indicated that spawning steelhead preferred water depths of 24 cm or more.

As with substrate size, the depth of water required by rearing salmonids may be most closely associated with food production. Literature reviewed by Reiser and Bjornn (1979) suggested that the most productive areas in terms of aquatic insect production are shallow areas typical of riffles. found that when Hooper (1973)substrates and velocities were the highest invertebrate sui table. production was associated with stream depths between 15 and 91 cm

Water Movement

Suitable stream velocities (stream flows) are important during migration upstream spawning, incubation, and rearing of steelhead. maximum water velocity that allows successful upstream migration of steelhead is 2.4 m/s (Thompson 1972). Any section of a stream can become an obstacle. regardless of depth, when stream velocities exceed the swimming of steelhead. Mi ni mum and maximum acceptable stream flows for migration of adult steelhead can be calculated for specific stream sections using methods outlined in Thompson (1972).

The preferred water velocity for spawning steelhead ranges between 40 and 91 cm/s (Smith 1973). streamflow, a function of velocity and depth, regulates the amount of spawning area available (Reiser and Bjornn 1979). Reiser and Bjornn (1979) cited several authors (Sams and Pearson 1963; Thompson 1972; Collings 1972, Waters 1976; 1974: Stalnaker and Arnett 1976) who provided detailed descriptions of spawni ng flow methodology.

During the incubation stage, the velocity of water moving through the interstitial spaces in the gravel may be the single most important factor in the embryos' intragravel environment, since it determines the amount of dissolved oxygen supplied and the rate at which metabolic waste products are removed (Reiser and Bjornn 1979). Phillips and Campbell (1961) found that the survival of steelhead and salmon hi gh was eggs apparent intragravel velocities were greater than 20 cm/h. **Coble (1961)** also demonstrated higher survival of salmon embryos with higher apparent velocities, between 5 cm/h and 100 cm/h.

Reiser and Bjornn (1979) stated that most recommended stream flows for salmonid rearing habitat have been based on food production, cover, and microhabitat needs of the fish, rather than the direct relationships between fish production and stream flow. They listed the following recommendations stream flow and stream characteristics developed by Thompson (1972) for salmonid rearing habitat: depth of 0.46 to 0.91 m over riffles production; for opti mum food 1:1; riffle/pool ratio near approximately 60% of riffle area covered by water; riffle water velocities of 31 to 46 cm/s;

water velocities of 9 to 24 cm/s; and some type of stream cover available as shelter for fish.

Suspended and Deposited Sediment

During adult upstream migration, salmonids may cease movement when silt load exceeds 4,000 mg/l (Bell A thermal barrier may develop as a result of the increased absorption of radiation in turbid waters (Reiser and Bjornn 1979). Excessive amounts of sand and silt in the gravel may also inhibit salmonid fry emergence from the gravel (Reiser and Fish subjected to Bjornn 1979). turbidities of conti nuous clay **50** approximately nephel ometri c turbidity units (NTV) grew less well than those living in clear water, and more of them emigrated from the test channels containing turbi d (Sigler et al. 1984).

During rearing, suspended and deposited fine sediment can directly affect salmonids by abrading and clogging gills, and indirectly by causing reduced feeding, avoidance reactions, destruction of supplies, reduced survival of eggs or and changed rearing habitat (Reiser and Bjornn 1979). Bell (1973) indicated that silt loads averaging **25** mg/1 than perni t good freshwater fisheries.

Other Environmental Factors

Partial or complete barriers such as waterfalls, debris jams, excessive velocities (Reiser and Bjornn 1979), high temperatures, high turbidity (Bell 1973), and dams can impede or prevent the upstream migration of adult steelhead and, in some cases, the outmigration of juvenile fish (Northwest Power Planning Council 1985).

The amount, type, and location of cover is important during the adult freshwater phase and the rearing phase of steelhead. Reiser and Bjornn

(1979) stated that cover is essential steelhead due to adult protracted periods they spend in freshwater before they spawn. suggested that the proximity of cover may be important in the selection of spawning sites. They listed cover types as overhanging vegetation, undercut banks, submerged vegetation, submerged objects such as logs and rocks, and floating debris. Cover may be most important during the rearing it provides shaded areas, reduces predation, and generally increased salmonid producallows tion (Reiser and Bjornn 1979). Ri pari an vegetation, in addition to providing cover, provides habitat for terrestrial insects that fall into the stream and become food for juvenile sal moni ds. and provi des plant materials that become food invertebrates (Reiser of aquatic and Bjornn 19**79**0). Some limited been accomplished in success has

enhancing stream habitat for anadromous salmonids (Reeves and Roelofs 1982).

Northwest Power Planning Council (1985) has recently reviewed the impact of hydroelectric facilities on anadronous salmon and steelhead. The outnigration time of juveniles is delayed as is the upstream migration of adults. The reservoirs created behind the facilities have inundated important spawning areas. The greater surface area of these impounded waters causes abnormal increases in river water temperatures. Other operational impacts on salmon and steelhead include turbine mortalities of juveniles, gas supersaturation of the water that can result in gas-bubble stunning of outmigrants making them more susceptible to predators, and regulated stream flow fluctuations that cause stranding and mortality of fish.

LITERATURE CITED

- Alderdice, D.F., W.P. Wickett, and J.R. Brett. 1958. Some effects of temporary exposure to low dissolved oxygen levels on Pacific salmon eggs. J. Fish Res. Board Can. 15(2): 229-250.
- Allee, B.A. 1981. The role of interspecific competition in the distribution of salmonids in streams. Pages 111-122 in E.L. Brannon and E.O. Salo, eds. Salmon and trout migratory behavior symposium University of Washington, Seattle.
- Ayerst, J.D. 1977. The role of hatcheries in rebuilding steelhead runs of the Columbia River system Pages 84-88 in Columbia River salmon and steelhead. Am Fish. Soc. Spec. Publ. 10.
- Bell, M.C. 1973. Fisheries handbook of engineering requirements and biological criteria. U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, Portland, Oregon. Contract No. DACW57-68-C-0086. 425 pp.
- Bjornn, T.C. 1969. Embryo survival and emergence studies; Job. No. 5; Federal aid in fish and wildlife restoration. Job Completion Rep., Proj. F-49-R-7. Idaho Fish and Game Dep., Boise. 11 pp.
- Bjornn, T.C. 1977. Wild fish production and management. Pages 65-71 in Columbia River salmon and steelhead. Am Fish. Soc. Spec. Publ. 10.

- Bjornn, T.C. 1978. Survival, production, and yield of trout and chinook salmon in the Lemmi River, Idaho. College of Forestry, Wildlife and Range Sciences, University of Idaho, Mbscow. Bull. No. 27. 57 pp.
- Bulkley, R.V. 1967. Fecundity of steelhead trout, Salmo gairdneri, from Alsea River, Oregon. J. Fish. Res. Board Can. 24(4): 917-926.
- Bustard, D. R., and D. W. Narver. 1975.

 Aspects of the winter ecology of juvenile coho salmon (Ouncornynchus kisutch) and steelhead trout (Salmo gairdner Fish. Res. Board Can. 32(5): 667-680.
- Carl, G.C, W.A. Clemens, and C.C.
 Lindsey. 1973. The fresh-water
 fishes of British Columbia. British
 Columbia Provincial Museum,
 Victoria British Columbia, Canada.
 Handbook No. 5. 192 pp.
- Tinber Chamberlin, T. W 1982. harvest. Pages 1 - 30 in WR. Meehan, ed. Influence of forest and range management on anadronous fish habitat in western North America. U.S. For. Serv. Gen. Tech. Rep. Pacific Northwest Forest PNW 136. and Range **Experiment** Station, Portland, Oreg.
- Chaney, E. 1978. A question of balance. Water, energy, salmon and steel head production in the upper Columbia River Basin. Northwest Resource Information Center, Portland, Oreg. 29 pp.

- Chapman, D. W 1958. Studies on the life history of Alsea River steelhead. J. Wildl. Manage. 22(2): 123-134.
- Chilcote, MW, B.A. Crawford, and S.A. Leider. 1980. A genetic comparison of sympatric populations of summer and winter steel heads. Trans. Am Fish. Soc. 109(2): 203-206.
- Chilcote, M.W., S.A. Leider, J.J. Loch, and R.F. Leland. 1983. Kalama River salmonid studies, 1982 Progress Report. Wash. Dep. Game Fish. Res. Rep. 83-3. 105 pp.
- Clark, R.N., D.R. Gibbons, and G.B. Pauley. 1985. Influences of recreation. Pages 1-31 in WR. Mehan, ed. Influence of forest and rangeland management on anadromous fish habitat in western North America. U.S. For. Serv. Gen. Tech. Rep. PNW 178. Pacific Northwest Forest and Range Experiment Station, Portland, Oreg.
- Clark, W.G. 1985. Fishing in a sea of Court Orders: Puget Sound salmon management ten years after the Boldt Decision. North Am. J. Fish. Manage. 5(3B): 417-434.
- Coble, D.W 1961. Influence of water exchange and dissolved oxygen in redds on survival of steelhead trout embryos. Trans. Am Fish. Soc. 90(4): 469-474.
- Collings, M.R. 1972. A methodology for determining instream flow requirements for fish. Pages 72-86 in Proceedings, instream flow methodology workshop. Washington State Water Program Olympia.
- Collings, M.R. 1974. Generalization of spawning and rearing discharges for several Pacific salmon species in western Washington. U.S. Geol. Surv., Tacoma. Unpubl. MS.

- Conte, F.P., and H.H. Wagner. 1965.

 Development of osmotic and ionic regulation in juvenile steelhead trout, Salmo gairdneri. Comp. Biochem Physiol. 14(4): 603-620.
- Davis, G. E., J. Foster, C. E. Warren, and P. Doudoroff. 1963. The influence of oxygen concentration on the swimming performance of juvenile Pacific salmon at various temperatures. Trans. Am Fish. Soc. 92(2): 111-124.
- Davis, J. C. 1975. Minimal dissolved oxygen requirements of aquatic life with emphasis on Canadian species: a review. J. Fish Res. Board Can. 32(12): 2295-2332.
- Eble, W.J., and H.L. Raymond. 1976. Effect of atmospheric gas supersaturation on salmon and steelhead trout of the Snake and Columbia Rivers. Mar. Fish. Rev. 38(7): 1-14.
- Edmindson, E., F.E. Everest, and D.W Chapman. 1968. Permanence of station in juvenile chinook salmon and steelhead trout. J. Fish. Res. Board Can. 25(7): 1453-1464.
- Everest, F. E., and D. W Chapman. 1972. Habitat selection and spacial interaction of juvenile chinook salmon and steelhead trout in two Idaho streams. J. Fish. Res. Board Can. 29(1): 91-100.
- Fagerstrom, S. 1976. Catch more steelhead. Outdoor Empire Publishing, Seattle, Wash. 191 pp.
- Fessler, J.L., and H.H. Wagner. 1969. Some morphological and biochemical changes in steelhead trout during the parr-smolt transformation. J. Fish. Res. Board Can. 26(11): 2823-2841.
- Folmer, L.C., and W.W. Dickhoff. 1980. The parr-smolt transformation (smoltification) and seawater adaptation in salmonids: review of

- selected literature. Aquaculture 21(1): 1-37.
- Hart, J.L. 1973. Pacific fishes of Canada. Fish. Res. Board Can. Bull. No. 180. 740 pp.
- Hartman, G. F. 1965. The roll of behavior in the ecology and interaction of underyearling coho salmon (Oncorhynchus kisutch) and steelhead trout (Salmo gairdneri).

 J. Fish. Res. Board Can. 22(4): 1035-1081.
- Hartman, G.F., and C.A. Gill. 1968.

 Distribution of juvenile steelhead and cutthroat trout (Salmo gairdneri and S. clarki clarki) within streams in southwestern British Columbia.

 J. Fish. Res. Board Can. 25(1): 33-48.
- Hooper, D.R. 1973. Evaluation of the effects of flows on trout stream ecology. Pacific Gas and Electric Co., Dep. Eng. Res., Eneryville, Calif. 97 pp.
- Hunter, J.W. 1973. A discussion of gamefish in the State of Washington as related to water requirements. Wash. State Dep. Game, Fish. Manage. Div. Rep. Olympia. 66 pp.
- Johnson, J. H. 1981. Predation on the eggs of steelhead trout by stream salmonids in a tributary of Lake Ontario. Prog. Fish-Cult. 43(1): 36-37.
- Johnson, T. H. 1985. Density of steelhead parr for mainstream rivers in western Washington during the low flow period, 1984. Wash. Dep. Game Fish. Res. Rep. 85-6. 29 pp.
- Larson, R.W., and J.M. Ward. 1954.
 Management of steelhead trout in the
 State of Washington. Trans. Am
 Fish. Soc. 84: 261-274.
- LeBrasseur, R. J. 1966. Stomch contents of salmon and steelhead trout in the northeastern Pacific

- Ocean. J. Fish. Res. Board Can. 23(1): 85-100.
- Luch, B. 1976. Steelhead drift fishing. Salmon Trout Steelheader, Portland, Oreg. 94 pp.
- Maher, F.P., and P.A. Larkin. 1954. Life history of steelhead trout of the Chilliwack River, British Columbia. Trans. Am Fish. Soc. 84: 27-38.
- Manzer, J. I. 1968. Food of Pacific salmon and steelhead trout in the Pacific Ocean. J. Fish Res. Board Can. 25(5): 1085-1089.
- McCuddin, M.E. 1977. Survival of salmon and trout embryos and fry in gravel-sand mixtures. M.S. Thesis. University of Idaho, Moscow. 30 pp.
- Narver, D.W 1976. Stream management for west coast anadromous salmonids. Pages 7-13 in Stream management of salmonids. -Trout, Winter 1976 Suppl.
- Northwest Power Planning Council. 1985. Compilation of information on salmon and steelhead losses in the Columbia River Basin. Portland, Oregon. 229 pp.
- Pauley, G. B. 1982. Effects of recreational fishing on anadronous salmonids. Wash. Coop. Fish. Res. Unit, Seattle. Tech. Rep. No. 7-82. 105 pp.
- Pauley, G. B., and R. E. Nakatani.
 1967. Histopathology of
 "gas-bubble" disease in salmon
 fingerlings. J. Fish. Res. Board
 Can. 24(4): 867-871.
- Pautzke, C.F., and R.C. Meigs. 1940. Studies on the life history of the Puget Sound steelhead (Salmo gairdneri). Trans. Am Fish. Soc. 70: 209-220.
- Phillips, R.W, and H.J. Campbell. 1961. The embryonic survival of

- coho salmon and steelhead trout as influenced by some environmental conditions in gravel beds. Annu. Rep. Pac. Mar. Fish. Comm 14: 60-73.
- Platts. WS. 1981. Effects of livestock grazing. Pages 1-25 in Meehan, W.R. edi Influence of forest and range management on anadronous fish habitat in western North America. U.S. For. Serv. Gen. Tech. PNW 124. Paci fi c Rep. **Forest** Northwest and Range Experiment Station, Portland, Oreg.
- The effects of Pollard, H. 1978. hatchery angl i ng and trout on steelhead trout. juvenile **Pages** 161-168 J. R. Moring Proceeding? Wild Trout--Catchable Trout Symposium Oreg. Dep. Fish. Wildl., Corvallis.
- Raymond, H.L. 1979. Effects of dans and impoundments on migrations of juvenile chinook salmon and steelhead from the Snake River, 1966 to 1975. Trans. Am Fish. Soc. 108(6): 505-529.
- Reeves, G. H., and T. D. Roelofs. Rehabilitating and enhancing stream Field applications. habitat: 2. Pages 1-38 in W.R. Meehan, ed. Influence of forest and range on anadromous management habitat in western North America. U.S. For. Serv. Gen. Tech. Rep. Pacific Northwest Forest PNW 140. Range **Experiment** Station, Portl and, Oreg.
- Reisenbichler, R.R., and J.D.

 McIntyre. 1977. Genetic differences in growth and survival of juvenile hatchery and wild steelhead trout, Salm gairdneri. J. Fish. Res. Board Can. 34(1): 123-128.
- Reiser, D.W, and T.C. Bjornn. 1979.

 Habitat requirements of anadromous salmonids. Pages 1-54 in W.R.

 Mehan, ed. Influence of forest and

- range management on anadromous fish habitat in western North America. U. S. For. Serv. Gen. Tech. Rep. PNW 96. Pacific Northwest Forest and Range Experiment Station, Portland, Oreg.
- Royal, L.A. 1972. An examination of the anadromous trout program of the Washington State Game Department. Wash. State Dep. Game Final Rep., AFS-49, Olympia. 176 pp.
- Rudnick, T. 1981. Salty steelies. West. Saltwater Fisherman 1(5): 26-31.
- Salo, E.O. 1974. Anadromous fishes. Pages 12-21 in Salmonid management. Trout, Winter1974 Suppl.
- Sams, R.E., and L.S. Pearson. 1963.
 A study to develop methods for determining spawning flows for anadronous salmonids. Oreg. Fish. Comm, Portland. Unpubl. MS.
- Scott, W.B., and E.J. Crossnan. 1973. Freshwater fishes of Canada. Fish. Res. Board Can. Bull. No. 184. 966 pp.
- Shapovalov, L. 1967. Biology and management of steelhead trout in California. Calif. Dep. Fish Game Inland Fish. Admin. Rep. No. 67-7. 6 pp.
- Shapovalov, L., and A.C. Taft. 1954.
 The life histories of the steelhead rainbow trout gairqnerly, and, and silver salmon (ynchus kisutch)
 with special reference to Waddell Creek, California, and recommendations regarding their management. Calif. Dep. Fish Game Fish. Bull. 98. 375 pp.
- Shepard, M.F. 1972. Timing of adult steelhead migrations as influenced by flow and temperature in four representative Washington streams. M.S. Thesis. University of Washington, Seattle. 197 pp.

- Sheppard, D. 1972. The present status of the steelhead trout stocks along the Pacific Coast. Pages 519-556 in D. H. Rosenberg, ed. A review of the oceanography and renewable resources of the northern Gulf of Alaska. Univ. Alaska Inst. Mar. Sci. Rep. R72-73, Sea Grant Rep. 73-3.
- Shunway, D. L., C. E. Warren, and P. Doudoroff. 1964. Influence of concentration and water oxygen movement on the growth of steelhead trout and coho salmon embryos. Soc. Trans. Am Fish. 93(4): 342-356.
- Sigler, J.W, T.C. Bjornn, and F.H. Everest. 1984. Effects of chronic turbidity on density and growth of steelheads and coho salnon. Trans. Am Fish. Soc. 113(2): 142-150.
- Silver, S.T., C.E. Warren, and P. Doudoroff. 1963. Dissolved oxygen requirements of developing steelhead trout and chinook salmon embryos at different water velocities. Trans. Am Fish. Soc. 92(4): 327-343.
- Slatick, E., L.G. Gilbreath, and J.R. Harmon. 1981. Imprinting steelhead for homing. Pages 247-264 in E.L. Brannon and E.O. Salo, eds. Salmon and Trout Migratory Behavior Symposium, University of Washington, Seattle.
- Smith, A.K. 1973. Development and application of spawning velocity and depth criteria for Oregon salmonids.

 Trans. Am Fish. Soc. 10(2): 312-316.
- Smith, S.B. 1960. A note on two stocks of steelhead trout (Salmo gairdneri) in Capilano River, British Columbia. J. Fish. Res. Board Can. 17(5): 739-741.
- Smith, S.B. 1968. Reproductive isolation in summer and winter races of steelhead trout. Pages 21-38 in T.G. Northcote, ed. Symposium on

- Salmon and Trout in streams. H.R. MacMillan Lectures in Fisheries. University of British Columbia, Vancouver, Canada.
- Stalnaker, C.B., and J.L. Arnett.
 1976. Methodologies for determining instream flows for fish and other aquatic life. Pages 89-138 in C.B. Stalnaker and J.L. Arnett, eds. Methodologies for the determination of stream resource flow requirements: an assessment. U.S. Fish Wildl. Serv., Div. Biol. Serv., Washington, D.C.
- Summer, F. H. 1945. Age and growth of steelhead trout, Salm gairdneri Richardson, caught by sport and commercial fishermen in Tillamook County, Oregon. Trans. Am Fish. Soc. 75: 77-83.
- Sutherl and, D. F. **1973**. Distribution, seasonal abundance, and of steelhead biological features trout, Salmo gairdneri, in the North Pacific Ocean. U.S. Natl. Mar. Fish. Serv. Fi sh. Bull. 71(3): 787-826.
- Tappel, P.D., and T.C. Bjornn. 1983. A new method of relating size of spawning gravel to salmonid embryo survival. North Am J. Fish. Manage. 3(2): 123-135.
- Thompson, K. 1972. Determining stream flows for fish life. Pages 31-50 in Proceedings, Instream Flow Requirement Workshop. Pac. Northwest River Basin Comm, Vancouver, Wash.
- Wagner, H.H. 1968. Effect of stocking time on steelhead trout, Salmo gairdneri, in Oregon. Trans. Am. Fish. Soc. 97(4): 374-379.
- Wagner, H.H., R.L. Wallace, and H.J. Campbell. 1963. The seaward migration and return of hatchery reared steelhead trout, Salmogairdneri Richardson, in the Alsea

- **River**, **Oregon**. **Trans**. **Am Fish**. Soc. 92(3): **202**-210.
- Washington Department of Game. 1985a. Annual Steelhead Trout Sport Catch Report. Olympia, Washington. 6 pp.
- Washington Department of Game. 1985b.
 Annual Treaty Indian Steelhead Trout
 Catch Report. Olympia, Washington.
 6 pp.
- Washington, P. M. 1970. Occurrence on the high seas of a steelhead trout in its ninth year. Calif. Fish Game 56(4): 312-314.
- Waters, B.F. 1976. A methodology for evaluating the effects of different streamflows on salmonid habitat. Pages 254-266 in J.F. Osborn and C. H. Allman, eds. Instream flow needs. Vol. II. Am Fish. Soc. Spec. Publ.
- Wedeneyer, G.A., R.L. Saunders, and W.C. Clarke. 1980. Environmental factors limiting smoltification and early marine survival of anadromous salmonids. Mar. Fish. Rev. 42(6): 1-14.

- Weitkamp, D.E., and M. Katz. 1980. A review of dissolved gas supersaturation literature. Trans. Amer. Fish. Soc. 109(6): 659-702.
- Withler, F.C. 1972. Research needs for intensive management of British Columbia steelhead. Fish. Res. Board Can. Circ. No. 92. 41 pp.
- Withler, I.L. 1966. Variability in life history characteristics of steelhead trout (gairdneri) along the Pacific Chastorth America. J. Fish. Res. Board Can. 23(3): 365-392.
- Wydoski, R.S., and R.R. Whitney. 1979. Inland fishes of Washington. University of Washington Press, Seattle. 220 pp.
- Yee, C.S., and T.D. Roelofs. 1980. Planning forest roads to protect salmonid habitat. Pages 1-26 in M.R. Meehan, ed. Influence of forest and rangeland management on anadromous fish habitat in Western North America. U.S. For. Serv. Gen. Tech. Rep. PNW 109. Pacific Northwest Forest and Range Experiment Station, Portland, Oreg.

30272	-1	101	

REPORT DOCUMENTATION 1. REPORT NO. PAGE Biological Report 82(11.62)*	2.	3. Recipient's Accession No.
	`	
4. Title and Subtitle Species Profiles: Life Histories and Environment	+o1	5. Report Date
Species Profiles: Life Histories and Environmental Requirements of Coastal Fishes and Invertebrates (Pacific Northwest)Steelhead Trout		August 1986
		6.
7. Author(s)		6. Performing Organization Rept. N
Gilbert Pauley, Bruce Bortz, and Michael Shepard		
3. Performing Organization Name and Address		10. Project/Task/Work Unit No.
		11. Contract(C) or Grant(G) No.
		(C)
12.Sponsoring Organization Name and Address		(G)
National Wetlands Research Center U.S. Army Corp Fish and Wildlife Service Waterways Exp U.S. Dep. of the Interior P.O. Box 631	os of Engineers eriment Station	13. Type of Report & Period Covered
Washington, DC 20240 Vicksburg, M	39180	14.

15. Supplementary Notes

*U.S. Army Corps of Engineers Report No. TR EL-82-4

IS. Abstract (Limit: 200 words)

Species profiles are literature summaries of the range, life history, and environmental requirements of coastal aquatic species. They are designed to assist in environmental impact assessment. The steelhead is an anadromous form of the rainbow trout Salmo gairdneri found from central California to Alaska. The commercial fishery in the Pacific Northwest is restricted to specific Indian tribes. Washington and Oregon support an important recreational fishery. In Washington and Oregon, two runs of steelhead exist. Winter-run steelhead enter their native streams in late fall and winter, and usually spawn by the following May. Summer-run steelhead return to their home streams in spring and summer, and usually spawn in the following spring. California stocks apparently consist only of winter-run fish. Female steelhead bury their eggs in gravel in streams after spawning. Proper temperature and dissolved oxygen levels are necessary for incubation of eggs and rearing in streams. Adequate particle size of stream gravel and adequate stream velocity are essential for incubation.

17. Document Analysis a. Descriptors Competition Trout Depth Life cycles Sedi ments Growth Fisheries Animal migrations Salinity Feeding habits **Oxygen Temperature** Suspended sediments Streams b. Identifiers/Open-Ended Terms Steelhead trout Salmo gairdneri Spawni ng Predators c.COSATI Field/Group 6. Availability Statement 19. Security Class (This Report) 21. No. of Pages Unclassified 24 20. Security Class (This Page) Unclassified Unl i mi ted 22. Price

TAKE PRIDE in America





As the Nation's principal conservation agency, the Department of the Interior has responsibility for most of our nationally owned public lands and natural resources. This includes fostering the wisest use of our land and water resources, protecting our fish and wildlife, preserving theenvironmental and cultural values of our national parks and historical places, and providing for the enjoyment of life through outdoor recreation. The Department assesses our energy and mineral resources and works to assure that their development is in the best interests of all our people. The Department also has a major responsibility for American Indian reservation communities and for people who live in island territories under U.S. administration.